arXiv:1011.5438v1 [math.CO] 24 Nov 2010

Preprint

ON A SUMSET PROBLEM FOR INTEGERS

SHAN-SHAN DU, HUI-QIN CAO AND ZHI-WEI SUN*

Abstract Let A be a finite set of integers. We show that if k is a prime power or a product of two distinct primes then

$$|A+k\cdot A|\ge(k+1)|A|-\lceil k(k+2)/4\rceil$$

provided $|A|\ge(k-1)^2k!$, where $A+k\cdot A=\{a+kb:\ a,b\in A\}$. We also establish the inequality $|A+4\cdot A|\ge 5|A|-6$ for $|A|\ge 5$.

1. Introduction

For finite subsets $A_1,\ldots,A_k$ of $\mathbb{Z}$, their *sumset* is given by

$$A_1+\cdots+A_k=\{a_1+\cdots+a_n:\ a_1\in A_1,\ldots,a_k\in A_k\},$$

which is simply denoted by kA if $A_1=\cdots=A_k=A$. It is known that

$$|A_1+\cdots+A_k|\ge|A_1|+\cdots+|A_k|-k+1,$$

and equality holds when $A_1,\ldots,A_k$ are arithmetic progressions with the same common difference.

Let A be a finite set of integers. For $k\in\mathbb{Z}^+=\{1,2,3,\ldots\}$, define

$$k\cdot A:=\{ka:a\in A\}.$$

Recently J. Cilieruelo, Y. Hamidoune and O. Serra [1] proved that

$$|A+k\cdot A|\ge(k+1)|A|-\lceil k(k+2)/4\rceil$$

if k is a prime and $|A|\ge 3(k-1)^2(k-1)!$. They also conjectured that for every positive integer k the inequality holds when $|A|$ is large enough. In this paper we confirm the conjecture for $k=p^\alpha,p_1p_2$, where p, p_1, p_2 are prime numbers and $\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^+$.

Theorem 1. *Let $k=p^\alpha$ with p a prime and $\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^+$. Let A be a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}$ with $|A|\ge(k-1)^2k!$. Then*

$$|A+k\cdot A|\ge(k+1)|A|-\lceil k(k+2)/4\rceil.\tag{1.1}$$

Key words and phrases. Additive number theory, sumsets.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11B13; Secondary 05E99, 11P70.

*This corresponding author is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation (grant 10871087) of China.

Theorem 2. *Let p_1, p_2 be distinct primes and $k = p_1p_2$. Let A be a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}$ with $|A| \geq (k-1)^2k!$. Then*

$$|A + k \cdot A| \geq (k+1)|A| - \lceil k(k+2)/4 \rceil. \tag{1.2}$$

Things become much more sophisticated when $|A|$ is small. For example, by Theorem 1, if $k = 4$ then (1.1) holds when $|A| \geq 216$. In fact, we have the following refinement.

Theorem 3. *For any finite $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ with $|A| \geq 5$, we have*

$$|A + 4 \cdot A| \geq 5|A| - 6.$$

Remark. For a fixed k, the bound given in (1.1) is best possible when $|A|$ is large enough. Moreover, equality holds if and only if $A = k\cdot\{0, 1, \dots, n\} + \{0, 1, \dots, h\}$ for some positive integer n, where

$$h = \begin{cases} k/2 \text{ or } (k+2)/2, & \text{if } k \text{ is even;} \\ (k+1)/2, & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

However, there is a smaller lower bound when $|A|$ is small (cf. [2]).

2. NOTATION AND ELEMENTARY LEMMAS

Throughout this paper we use the following notation. For a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ with $|A| > 1$ and a positive integer k, we define $\hat{A}$ as the projection of A into $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$. Let $j = |\hat{A}|$ and $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_j$ be the distinct classes of A modulo k. Write $A_i = kX_i + u_i$ with $0 \geq u_i < k$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, j$. Clearly $|A_i| = |X_i|$,

$$A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{j} A_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^{j} (k \cdot X_i + u_i)$$

and $u_1, \dots, u_j$ are distinct. Set

$$F = \{1 \geq i \geq j : |\hat{X}_i| = k\}, \qquad E = \{1 \geq i \geq j : 0 < |\hat{X}_i| < k\}.$$

and

$$\triangle_{rs} = (A_r + k \cdot A) \backslash (A_r + k \cdot A_s), \quad r, s = 1, 2, \dots, j.$$

Without loss of generality, we have the following assumption:

(I) $\gcd(A) = \gcd(\{a : a \in A\}) = 1$. If $d = \gcd(A) > 1$, replace A by $A' = \{a/d : a \in A\}$. Obviously $|A'| = |A|$ and

$$|A' + k \cdot A'| = |A + k \cdot A|.$$

(II) $u_1 = 0$ and $|A_1| \geq |A_2| \geq \cdots \geq |A_j|$. Let $A' = A - u_1$ if $u_1 \neq 0$. It is easy to see that $|A'| = |A|$ and

$$|A' + k \cdot A'| = |A + k \cdot A|.$$

(III) $j = |\hat{A}| \geq 2$. If $j = 1$ then $A = k \cdot A_1 + u_1$. Clearly

$$|A + k \cdot A| = |A_1 + k \cdot A_1|.$$

Replace A by A_1. If $|\hat{A_1}| = 1$, we repeat the process until $|\hat{A_1}| > 1$.

Lemma 1 ([2]). *For arbitrary nonempty sets B and $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{j}(k \cdot X_i + u_i)$, we have*
(i) $|A + k \cdot B| = \sum_{i=1}^{j} |X_i + B|$.
(ii) $|A + k \cdot B| \geq |A| + j(|B| - 1)$.
(iii) Furthermore, if equality holds in (ii), then either $|B| = 1$ or $|X_i| = 1$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, j$ or B and all the sets X_i with more than one element are arithmetic progressions with the same difference.

Lemma 2 (I. Chowla [3]). *Let $n \geq 2$, and let A and B be nonempty subsets of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. If $0 \in B$ and $(b, n) = 1$ for all $b \in B \backslash \{0\}$, then*

$$|A + B| \geq \min\{n, |A| + |B| - 1\}.$$

Lemma 3 ([1]). *For each subset $I \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, j\}$, we have*

$$\sum_{i \in I} |\triangle_{ii}| \geq |I|(|I| - 1).$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Lemma 4. *Let p be a prime, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $k = p^\alpha$. Let A be a nonempty finite subset of integers. Denote $m = \min\{1 \leq i \leq j : p \nmid u_i\}$.*
(i) If $i \in E\backslash\{m\}$, we have $| \vartriangle_{ii} | \geq |A_m|$.
(ii) If $|\hat{X_m}| + m - 1 \leq k$, then

$$| \vartriangle_{mm} | \geq |A_1| + \ldots + |A_{m-1}|.$$

If $|\hat{X_m}| + m - 1 > k$, we have

$$|A_m + A| \geq (k + 1)|A_m| + m(|A_1| - |A_m|) - k.$$

Proof. **(i)** Suppose that $i \in E\backslash\{m\}$. Note that $p \nmid u_m$. If $p|u_i$, then $(u_m - u_i, k) = 1$. Applying Lemma 2, we have $|(\hat{X_i} + \hat{A_m})\backslash(\hat{X_i} + \hat{A_i})| \geq 1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |\triangle_{ii}| &= |(A_i + k \cdot A)\backslash(A_i + k \cdot A_i)| \\ &= |(X_i + A)\backslash(X_i + A_i)| \\ &\geq |A_m|. \end{aligned}$$

If $p \nmid u_i$, by Lemma 2 we have $|(\hat{X_i} + \hat{A_1})\backslash(\hat{X_i} + \hat{A_i})| \geq 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |\triangle_{ii}| &= |(A_i + k \cdot A)\backslash(A_i + k \cdot A_i)| \\ &\geq |A_1| \geq |A_m|. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Remember that $p|u_1, \ldots, p|u_{m-1}$ and $p \nmid u_m$. Clearly, $(u_1 - u_m, k) = \cdots = (u_{m-1} - u_m, k) = 1$. By Lemma 2, we have

$$|\hat{X_m} + (\hat{A_1} \cup \cdots \cup \hat{A_t} \cup \hat{A_m})| \geq \min\{k,\ |\hat{X_m}| + t\}, \quad t = 1, 2, \ldots, m-1.$$

If $|\hat{X_m}| + m - 1 \leq k$, by induction on t, we obtain that

$$|(X_m + A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_t \cup A_m) \backslash (X_m + A_m)| \geq |A_1| + \cdots + |A_t|$$

for $t = 1, 2, \ldots, m-1$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |\triangle_{mm}| &= |(X_m + A) \backslash (X_m + A_m)| \\ &\geq |A_1| + \ldots + |A_{m-1}|. \end{aligned}$$

If $|\hat{X_m}| + m - 1 > k$, then $|\hat{X_m} + (\hat{A_1} \cup \ldots \cup \hat{A_m})| = k$. With the help of Lemmas 1 and 2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |X_m + A| &\geq |X_m + A_1| + |(X_m + A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_m) \backslash (X_m + A_1) \\ &\geq |X_m| + |\hat{X_m}|(|A_1| - 1) + (k - |\hat{X_m}|)|A_m| \\ &\geq (k+1)|A_m| + |\hat{X_m}|(|A_1| - |A_m|) - k. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of m, it is easy to see that $m \leq p^{\alpha-1} + 1$. And so

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{X_m}| &> k + 1 - m \geq p^{\alpha} + 1 - p^{\alpha-1} - 1 \\ &\geq p^{\alpha-1} \geq m - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$|X_m + A| \geq (k+1)|A_m| + m(|A_1| - |A_m|) - k.$$

This concludes the proof. ▮

Lemma 5. *Let p be a prime, α be a positive integer and $k = p^{\alpha}$. Let A be a nonempty finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}$. Then*

$$|A + k \cdot A| \geq (k+1)|A| - k!.$$

Proof. Let I be the set of integers t such that for every nonempty finite set $A \subset \mathbb{Z}$,

$$|A + k \cdot A| \geq (t+1)|A| - (t-1)!k.$$

It is known that $|A + k \cdot A| \geq 2|A| - 1 \geq 2|A| - k$. So we have $1 \in I$. Suppose that $t \in I$ and $1 \leq t < k$. Below we will prove $t + 1 \in I$.

Let A be any nonempty finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}$. We need to show that

$$|A + k \cdot A| \geq (t+2)|A| - t!k.$$

If $j > t$, applying Lemma 1, we immediately get

$$|A + k \cdot A| \geq |A| + j(|A| - 1) \geq |A| + (t+1)(|A| - 1) \geq (t+2)|A| - t!k.$$

Now we suppose that $j \geq t$. As $\gcd(A) = 1$, the set $\{1 \leq i \leq j : p \nmid u_i\}$ is nonempty. Let $m = \min\{1 \leq i \leq j : p \nmid u_i\}$. By Lemma 1, for $i \in F$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |A_i + k \cdot A| &\geq |X_i + k \cdot X_1| \\ &\geq |X_i| + k|X_1| - k \\ &\geq |A_i| + (t+1)|A_1| - k \\ &\geq (t+1)|A_i| + |A_1| - k. \end{aligned}$$

Remember that $t \in I$. Applying Lemma 4, for $i \in E \setminus \{m\}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |A_i + k \cdot A| &= |A_i + k \cdot A_i| + |\triangle_{ii}| \\ &\geq (t+1)|A_i| - (t-1)!k + |A_m|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \neq m} |A_i + k \cdot A| &= \sum_{i \in F \setminus \{m\}} |A_i + k \cdot A| + \sum_{i \in E \setminus \{m\}} |A_i + k \cdot A| \\ &\geq (t+1) \sum_{i \neq m} |A_i| + \Big(|F \setminus \{m\}||A_1| + |E \setminus \{m\}||A_m| \Big) \\ &\quad - \Big(k|F \setminus \{m\}| + |E \setminus \{m\}|(t-1)!k \Big). \end{aligned}$$

Case1. $|\hat{X_m}| + m - 1 \leq k$.

In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} |A_m + k \cdot A| &= |A_m + k \cdot A_m| + |\triangle_{mm}| \\ &\geq (t+1)|A_m| - (t-1)!k + |A_1| + \cdots + |A_{m-1}| \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |A + k \cdot A| &= \sum_{i \neq m} |A_i + k \cdot A| + |A_m + k \cdot A| \\ &\geq (t+1)|A| + \Big(|F \setminus \{m\}||A_1| + |E \setminus \{m\}||A_m| + |A_1| + \ldots + |A_{m-1}| \Big) \\ &\quad - \Big(k|F \setminus \{m\}| + |E \setminus \{m\}|(t-1)!k + (t-1)!k \Big). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,

$$|F \setminus \{m\}||A_1| + |E \setminus \{m\}||A_m| + |A_1| + \ldots + |A_{m-1}| \geq |A|$$

and

$$k|F \setminus \{m\}| + |E \setminus \{m\}|(t-1)!k + (t-1)!k \leq (t-1)!kj \leq t!k.$$

Hence

$$|A + k \cdot A| \geq (t+2)|A| - t!k.$$

Case2. $|\hat{X_m}| + m - 1 > k$.

Applying Lemma 4, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}|A+k\cdot A| &= \sum_{i\neq m}|A_i+k\cdot A|+|A_m+k\cdot A|\\ &\geq \sum_{i\neq m}|A_i+k\cdot A|+\Big((k+1)|A_m|+m(|A_1|-|A_m|)-k\Big)\\ &\geq (t+1)|A|+\Big(|F\backslash\{m\}||A_1|+|E\backslash\{m\}||A_m|+|A_m|+m(|A_1|-|A_m|)\Big)\\ &\quad -\Big(k|F\backslash\{m\}|+|E\backslash\{m\}|(t-1)!k+k\Big).\end{aligned}$$

It's easy to see that

$$|F\backslash\{m\}||A_1|+|E\backslash\{m\}||A_m|+|A_m|+m(|A_1|-|A_m|)\geq |A|$$

and

$$k|F\backslash\{m\}|+|E\backslash\{m\}|(t-1)!k+k\leq (t-1)!kj\leq t!k.$$

Thus we also get

$$|A+k\cdot A|\geq (t+2)|A|-t!k.$$

In view of the above, we get $t+1\in I$. As a result, $k\in I$. We are done. ▮

Proof of Theorem 1. Now suppose that $|A|\geq (k-1)^2k!$. Lemma 1 shows $|A+k\cdot A|\geq (k+1)|A|-k$ when $j=k$. And so (1.1) is valid if $j=k$. Now we assume that $j<k$. As $\gcd(A)=1$, the set $\{1\leq i\leq j: p\nmid u_i\}$ is nonempty. Let $m=\min\{1\leq i\leq j: p\nmid u_i\}$.

Case 1. $|\hat{X}_i|=k$ for each $i=1,\ldots,j$.

With the help of Lemmas 1 and 3 we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}|A+k\cdot A| &= \sum_{i=1}^{j}|A_i+k\cdot A|=\sum_{i=1}^{j}\Big(|X_i+k\cdot X_i|+|\triangle_{ii}|\Big)\\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^{j}\Big(|X_i|+k(|X_i|-1)+|\triangle_{ii}|\Big)\\ &\geq (k+1)|A|-jk+j(j-1)\\ &= (k+1)|A|-j(k+1-j)\\ &\geq (k+1)|A|-\lceil k(k+2)/4\rceil.\end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $m\in E$.

By Lemme 2, we have $|\hat{X_m}+\hat{A_1}\cup\hat{A_m}|\geq|\hat{X_m}|+1$. Therefore

$$|\triangle_{mm}|=|(X_m+A)\setminus(X_m+A_m)|\geq |A_1|.$$

Then using Lemma 5 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|A+k\cdot A| &= |A_m+k\cdot A|+\sum_{i\neq m}|A_i+k\cdot A|\\ &= |A_m+k\cdot A_m|+|\triangle_{mm}|+\sum_{i\neq m}|A_i+k\cdot A|\\ &\geq \Big((k+1)|A_m|-k!+|A_1|\Big)\\ &\quad +\sum_{i\neq m}\Big((k+1)|A_i|-k!\Big)\\ &\geq (k+1)|A|-jk!+|A_1|.\end{aligned}$$

Since $|A| \geq (k-1)^2 k! \geq j^2 k!$, we have $|A_1| \geq |A|/j \geq jk!$. Thus

$$|A + k \cdot A| \geq (k+1)|A|.$$

Case 3. $m \in F$ and there exists $s \in E$.

In this case Lemma 4 implies $|\triangle_{ss}| \geq |A_m|$. Then applying Lemmas 1 and 5, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} |A + k \cdot A| &= |A_m + k \cdot A| + \sum_{i \neq m} |A_i + k \cdot A| \\ &\geq |A_m + k \cdot A_1| + \sum_{i \neq m} |A_i + k \cdot A_i| + |\triangle_{ss}| \\ &\geq \Big(|A_m| + k|A_1| - k\Big) + \sum_{i \neq m} \Big((k+1)|A_i| - k!\Big) + |A_m| \\ &\geq (k+1)|A| - jk! + |A_1| \\ &\geq (k+1)|A|. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

4. Proof of Theorem 2

Lemma 6. *Let k be a positive integer and A be a nonempty subset of $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$. For $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$, $A + \alpha = A$ if and only if*

$$A = \bigcup_{\beta \in I} \Big((k,\alpha) \cdot \{0, 1, \cdots, \frac{k}{(k,\alpha)} - 1\} + \beta\Big)$$

for some nonempty set $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/(k,\alpha)\mathbb{Z}$ and $\frac{k}{(k,\alpha)} \mid |A|$.

Proof. If $(\alpha, k) = 1$, we easily get that $A + \alpha = A$ if and only if $A = \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ which yields the Lemma. Below we assume that $d = (\alpha, k) > 1$.

Let $A = A^0 \cup A^1 \cup \ldots \cup A^{(k,\alpha)-1}$ with

$$A^i = \{\gamma \in A : \gamma \equiv i \ (\bmod\ (k,\alpha))\}.$$

Then we have $A^i + \alpha = A^i$ since $A + \alpha = A$. We write

$$B^i = \frac{A^i - i}{(k,\alpha)} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau = \frac{\alpha}{(k,\alpha)}.$$

Then $B^i \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/\frac{k}{(k,\alpha)}\mathbb{Z}$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}/\frac{k}{(k,\alpha)}\mathbb{Z}$ because that $A^i \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$. It is easy to see that $B^i + \tau = B^i$ in the group $\mathbb{Z}/\frac{k}{(k,\alpha)}\mathbb{Z}$. Observe that $(\frac{k}{(k,\alpha)}, \frac{\alpha}{(k,\alpha)}) = 1$ and hence with the help of Lemma 2 we obtain that

$$B^i = \mathbb{Z}/\frac{k}{(k,\alpha)}\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{or} \quad B^i \text{ is empty.}$$

Then $A^i = (k,\alpha) \cdot \{0, 1, \cdots, \frac{k}{(k,\alpha)} - 1\} + i$ or A^i is empty. Thus

$$A = \bigcup_{\beta \in I} \Big((k,\alpha) \cdot \{0, 1, \cdots, \frac{k}{(k,\alpha)} - 1\} + \beta\Big)$$

for some nonempty set $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/(k,\alpha)\mathbb{Z}$.

This proves the Lemma.

▮

Lemma 7 (see [3])**.** *Let A and B be nonempty subsets of the abelian group G, and let e be any element of G. Let $(A(e), B(e))$ be the e-transform of the pair (A,B), i.e., $A(e) = A \cup (B + e)$ and $B(e) = B \cap (A - e)$. Then*

$$A(e) + B(e) \subseteq A + B$$

and

$$A(e) \setminus A = e + (B \setminus B(e)).$$

If A and B are finite sets, then

$$|A(e)| + |B(e)| = |A| + |B|.$$

If $e \in A$ and $0 \in B$, then $e \in A(e)$ and $0 \in B(e)$.

Below we set $\bar{a} = a + k\mathbb{Z}$ for $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Lemma 8. *Let $k > 2$ be an integer but not a prime. Let A be a nonempty subset of $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$. Let $(q, k) \neq 1$ and $0 \in B \subseteq (\{0, \bar{q}\} \cup \{\bar{b} : (b, k) = 1\})$. If $|A + \{0, \bar{q}\}| \geq |A| + 1$, then*

$$|A + B| \geq \min(k, |A| + |B| - 1).$$

Proof. Clearly it is true if $|A|+|B| > k$. Now we assume that $|A|+|B| \leq k$. It is not difficult to deduce that the lemma holds if $|A| = 1$ or $|B| \leq 2$. Suppose that there exist sets A, B such that

$$|A + B| < |A| + |B| - 1.$$

Then $|A| \geq 2$, $|B| \geq 3$. Choose the pair (A, B) so that the cardinality of B is minimal. Since $|B| \geq 3$, there exists an element $\bar{b} \in B \setminus \{0, \bar{q}\}$. Then $(b, k) = 1$. As $A \neq \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$, according to Lemma 6, there exists an element $e \in A$ such that $e + \bar{b} \notin A$. Apply the e-transform to the pair (A, B). By Lemma 7, we have $A(e) + B(e) \subseteq A + B$, and so

$$|A(e) + B(e)| \leq |A + B| < |A| + |B| - 1 = |A(e)| + |B(e)| - 1.$$

Since $e \in A$ and $0 \in B$, it follows that $0 \in B(e) \subseteq B$. Since $e + \bar{b} \notin A$, we have $\bar{b} \notin A - e$, and so

$$\bar{b} \notin B \cap (A - e) = B(e).$$

Therefore, $|B(e)| < |B|$, which contradicts the minimality of $|B|$.

This completes the proof. ▮

Lemma 9. *Let p_1, p_2 be distinct prime numbers and $k = p_1p_2$. Let A be a nonempty finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}$. Suppose that* $\gcd(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_j) = 1$.

(i) If $(u_2, k) = 1$, then $|\triangle_{22}| \geq |A_1|$ if $2 \in E$ and $|\triangle_{ii}| \geq |A_2|$ if $i \in E \cap \{1, 3, \ldots, j\}$.

(ii)Suppose that $p_1 = (u_2, k)$*. Let* $n = \min\{\ 1 \le i \le j : p_1 \nmid u_i\}$*. Then we have*

$$|\triangle_{11}| \ge |A_2| \quad \textit{or} \quad p_2|A_n| \qquad \textit{if}\ \ 1 \in E,$$

$$|\triangle_{ii}| \ge |A_1| \quad \textit{or} \quad p_2|A_n| \qquad \textit{if}\ \ i \in E \cap \{2, 3, \dots, n-1\}$$

and

$$|\triangle_{ii}| \ge |A_n| \qquad \textit{if}\ \ i \in E \cap \{n+1, \dots, j\}.$$

If $n \in E$ *then* $|\triangle_{nn}| \ge |A_2|$*. Moreover, when* $n \in E$ *we have*

$$\begin{cases} |X_n + A| \ge |A_n| + p_1|A_1| - k, & if\ |\hat{X}_n| \ge p_1 > p_2, \\ |X_n + A| \ge |A_n| + p_2|A_1| - k, & if\ |\hat{X}_n| \ge p_2 > p_1, \\ |X_n + A| \ge |A_n| + |\hat{X}_n||A_1| + |A_2| + \dots + |A_l| - k, & if\ p_1 \le |\hat{X}_n| < p_2, \\ |\triangle_{nn}| \ge |A_1| + |A_2| + \dots + |A_{n-1}|, & if\ |\hat{X}_n| < p_1, \end{cases}$$

where $l = \min\{n-1, p_2 + 1 - |\hat{X}_n|\}$*.*

Proof. (i) Notice that $u_1 = 0$ and $(u_2, k) = 1$. Applying Lemma 6 we get

$$\hat{X}_i = \hat{X}_i + \hat{A}_1 \ne \hat{X}_i + \hat{A}_2 \qquad \text{for} \qquad i \in E.$$

So $|\triangle_{11}| \ge |A_2|$ if $1 \in E$ and $|\triangle_{22}| \ge |A_1|$ if $2 \in E$. For $i \in E \cap \{3, \dots, j\}$, we have

$$\hat{X}_i + \hat{A}_i \ne \hat{X}_i + \hat{A}_1 \quad \text{or} \quad \hat{X}_i + \hat{A}_i \ne \hat{X}_i + \hat{A}_1.$$

It implies $|\triangle_{ii}| = |(X_i + A) \setminus (X_i + A_i)| \ge |A_2|$.

(ii) Assume that $1 \in E$. If $\hat{X}_1 + \hat{A}_1 \ne \hat{X}_1 + \hat{A}_2$ then $|\triangle_{11}| \ge |A_2|$. If $\hat{X}_1 = \hat{X}_1 + \hat{A}_1 = \hat{X}_1 + \hat{A}_2$, Lemma 6 tells us that there exists $I \subset \mathbb{Z}/p_1\mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\hat{X}_1 = \bigcup_{\beta \in I} \Big(p_1 \cdot \{0, 1, \cdots, p_2 - 1\} + \beta \Big).$$

Since $p_1 \nmid u_n$, we have $|(\hat{X}_1 + \hat{A}_n) \backslash (\hat{X}_1 + \hat{A}_1)| = p_2$. Then $|\triangle_{11}| \ge p_2|A_n|$. Similarly, $|\triangle_{ii}| \ge |A_1|$ or $p_2|A_n|$ for $i \in E \cap \{2, \dots, n-1\}$. For $i > n$ and $i \in E$, we also have $|\triangle_{ii}| \ge |A_1|$ or $p_2|A_n|$ provided $(u_i, k) = 1$ or p_1. If $p_2 | u_i$, then $(u_i - u_2, k) = 1$. In view of Lemma 6, $\hat{X}_i + \hat{A}_2 \ne \hat{X}_i + \hat{A}_i$. And so $|\triangle_{ii}| \ge |A_2| \ge |A_n|$.

Below we assume that $n \in E$. Since $p_1 \nmid u_n$ and $k = p_1 p_2$, it is easy to see that $(u_n, k) = 1$ or $(u_n - u_2, k) = 1$. Therefore

$$\hat{X}_n + \hat{A}_n \ne \hat{X}_n + \hat{A}_1 \quad \text{or} \quad \hat{X}_n + \hat{A}_n \ne \hat{X}_n + \hat{A}_2.$$

And hence

$$|\triangle_{nn}| = |(X_n + A) \backslash (X_n + A_n)| \ge |A_2|.$$

With the help of Lemma 1, we get

$$|X_n + A| \ge |X_n + A_1| \ge |X_n| + |\hat{X}_n|(|A_1| - 1) \ge |X_n| + |\hat{X}_n||A_1| - k.$$

Case 1. $p_1 \le |\hat{X}_n| < p_2$.

Since $|\hat{X}_n| < p_2$, we have $|\{x(\bmod p_2) : x \in X_n\}| \le |\hat{X}_n| < p_2$. Observing that $(p_2, u_2) = (p_2, u_3) = \ldots = (p_2, u_{n-1}) = 1$ and that $|\{u_i(\bmod p_2) : 2 \le i \le n-1\}| = n-2$, in light of Lemma 2 we get

$$|\hat{X}_n + (\hat{A}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \hat{A}_t)| \ge \min\{p_2, |\hat{X}_n| + t - 1\}, \qquad t = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |(X_n + A) \setminus (X_n + A_1)| &\ge |(X_n + A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_{n-1}) \setminus (X_n + A_1)| \\ &\ge |A_2| + \cdots + |A_l|, \end{aligned}$$

where $l = \min\{n-1, p_2 + 1 - |\hat{X}_n|\}$. Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} |X_n + A| &\ge |X_n + A_1| + (|A_2| + \ldots + |A_l|) \\ &\ge |A_n| + |\hat{X}_n||A_1| + |A_2| + \cdots + |A_l| - k. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $|\hat{X}_n| < p_1$.

By the definition of n, $n \le p_2 + 1$. Then

$$|\hat{X}_n| + n - 1 < p_1 + p_2 \le p_1 p_2 = k.$$

Recall that $p_1 \mid u_i (1 \le i \le n-1)$ and $p_1 \nmid u_n$. If there exists $1 \le s \le n-1$ with $(u_s - u_n, k) \ne 1$, we must have $p_2 \mid u_s - u_n$. It follows that

$$|\{1 \le i \le n-1 : (u_i - u_n, k) \ne 1\}| \le 1.$$

Since $|\hat{X}_n| < p_1$, in view of Lemma 6, we have

$$|(\hat{X}_n + (u_s - u_n)) \setminus \hat{X}_n| \ge 1.$$

Then using Lemma 8, we get

$$|\hat{X}_n + (\hat{A}_1 \cup \ldots \cup \hat{A}_t \cup \hat{A}_n)| \ge |\hat{X}_n| + t, \quad 1 \le t \le n-1.$$

And so

$$\begin{aligned} |\triangle_{nn}| &= |(X_n + A) \setminus (X_n + A_n)| \\ &\ge |A_1| + \ldots + |A_{n-1}|. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. ∎

Lemma 10. *Let p_1, p_2 be distinct primes and $k = p_1 p_2$. Let A be a nonempty finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}$. Then*

$$|A + k \cdot A| \ge (k+1)|A| - k!.$$

Proof. Let I be the set of integers t such that for every nonempty finite set $A \subset \mathbb{Z}$,

$$|A + k \cdot A| \ge (t+1)|A| - (t-1)!k.$$

As mentioned in the proof of Lemma 5, $1 \in I$. Suppose that $t \in I$ and $1 \le t < k$. We will prove $t + 1 \in I$ and therefore $k \in I$.

Let A be any nonempty finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}$. According to the discussion in the proof of Lemma 5, we only need to show that

$$|A + k \cdot A| \ge (t+2)|A| - t!k \tag{4.1}$$

when $j \le t$. We have known that

$$|A_i + k \cdot A| = |X_i + A| \ge (t+1)|A_i| + |A_1| - k \quad \text{for} \quad i \in F \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$|A_i + k \cdot A| = |X_i + A| \ge (t+1)|A_i| - (t-1)!k + |\triangle_{ii}| \quad \text{for} \quad i \in E. \tag{4.3}$$

Case 1. $(u_2, k) = 1$.

If $2 \in F$ i.e $2 \notin E$, by Lemma 9, we have $|\triangle_{ii}| \ge |A_2|$ for $i \in E$. Combining (4.2) and (4.3) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |A + k \cdot A| &= \sum_{i \in F} |A_i + k \cdot A| + \sum_{i \in E} |A_i + k \cdot A| \\ &\ge (t+1)|A| + |F||A_1| + |E||A_2| - \Big(k|F| + |E|(t-1)!k\Big) \\ &\ge (t+2)|A| - t!k. \end{aligned}$$

When $2 \in E$, we have $|\triangle_{22}| \ge |A_1|$ and $|\triangle_{ii}| \ge |A_2|$ for $i \in E \setminus \{2\}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |A + k \cdot A| &= \sum_{i \in F} |A_i + k \cdot A| + \sum_{i \in E} |A_i + k \cdot A| \\ &\ge (t+1)|A| + |F||A_1| + |A_1| + (|E|-1)|A_2| - \Big(k|F| + |E|(t-1)!k\Big) \\ &\ge (t+2)|A| - t!k. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $(u_2, k) = p_1$.

Let $n = \min\{1 \le i \le j : p_1 \nmid u_i\}$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |A + k \cdot A| &= |A_n + k \cdot A| + \sum_{i \in F \setminus \{n\}} |A_i + k \cdot A| + \sum_{i \in E \setminus \{n\}} |A_i + k \cdot A| \\ &\ge |X_n + A| + (t+1) \sum_{i \ne n} |A_i| + |F \setminus \{n\}||A_1| + \sum_{i \in E \setminus \{n\}} |\triangle_{ii}| \\ &\quad - \Big(k|F \setminus \{n\}| + |E \setminus \{n\}|(t-1)!k\Big) \\ &\ge |X_n + A| + |F \setminus \{n\}||A_1| + \sum_{i \in E \setminus \{n\}} |\triangle_{ii}| + (t+1) \sum_{i \ne n} |A_i| - (t-1)(t-1)!k. \end{aligned}$$

For convenience we denote

$$\mathcal{S} = |X_n + A| + |F \setminus \{n\}||A_1| + \sum_{i \in E \setminus \{n\}} |\triangle_{ii}|.$$

In order to get (4.1), it is sufficient to prove

$$\mathcal{S} \ge (t+1)|A_n| + |A| - (t-1)!k.$$

If $n \in F$, then

$$|X_n + A| \ge |X_n| + k|A_1| - k \ge (t+1)|A_n| + t(|A_1| - |A_n|) + |A_1| - k.$$

Notice that $|\triangle_{ii}| \ge |A_n|$ for every $i \in E$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S} &\ge (t+1)|A_n| + t(|A_1| - |A_n|) + |F||A_1| + |E||A_n| - k \\ &\ge (t+1)|A_n| + |A| - (t-1)!k. \end{aligned}$$

Below we assume $n \in E$.

If $|A_1| \le p_2|A_n|$, according to Lemma 9 and (4.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S} &\ge (t+1)|A_n| - (t-1)!k + |A_2| + |F||A_1| \\ &\quad +|A_2||E\cap\{1\}| + |A_1||E\cap\{2,\cdots,n-1\}| + |A_n||E\cap\{n+1,\cdots,j\}| \\ &\ge (t+1)|A_n| + |A| - (t-1)!k.\end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that $|A_1 > p_2|A_n|$. If $|\hat{X}_n| < p_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S} &\ge (t+1)|A_n| - (t-1)!k + |A_1| + \cdots + |A_{n-1}| + |F||A_1| + (|E|-1)|A_n| \\ &\ge (t+1)|A_n| + |A| - (t-1)!k.\end{aligned}$$

If $|\hat{X}_n| \ge p_1 > p_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}|X_n + A| &\ge |A_n| + p_1|A_1| - k = (k+1)|A_n| + p_1(|A_1| - p_2|A_n|) - k \\ &\ge (t+1)|A_n| + |A_n| + (n-1)(|A_1| - p_2|A_n|) - k\end{aligned}$$

since $p_1 > p_2 \ge n-1$. If $|\hat{X}_n| \ge p_2 > p_1$, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}|X_n + A| &\ge |A_n| + p_2|A_1| - k = (k+1)|A_n| + p_2(|A_1| - p_1|A_n|) - k \\ &\ge (t+1)|A_n| + |A_n| + (n-1)(|A_1| - p_2|A_n|) - k.\end{aligned}$$

With the help of Lemma 9, we deduce that

$$\mathcal{S} \ge (t+1)|A_n| + |A| - (t-1)!k$$

when $|\hat{X}_n| \ge p_1 > p_2$ or $|\hat{X}_n| \ge p_2 > p_1$.

If $p_1 \le |\hat{X}_n| < p_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S} &\ge |A_n| + |\hat{X}_n||A_1| + \textstyle\sum_{i=2}^{l}|A_i| - k + |F||A_1| \\ &\quad +p_2|A_n||E\cap\{2,\cdots,n-1\}| + |A_n||E\cap\{n+1,\cdots,j\}| \\ &\ge \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{n}|A_i| + (|\hat{X}_n| - n + l)|A_1| + (n-2)p_2|A_n| + (j-n)|A_n| - k \\ &\ge |A| + (|\hat{X}_n| + l - 2)p_2|A_n| - k.\end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$|\hat{X}_n| + l - 2 = \min\{|\hat{X}_n| + n - 3, p_2 - 1\} \ge p_1.$$

Therefore

$$\mathcal{S} \ge |A| + k|A_n| - k \ge |A| + (t+1)|A_n| - (t-1)!k.$$

This concludes the proof. ∎

Proof of Theorem 2. As mentioned in the proof of Theorem 1, (1.2) is valid if $j = k$ or $|\hat{X}_i| = k$ for each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, j$. Now we assume that $j < k$ and $E \ne \emptyset$.

Case 1. $(u_2, k) = 1$

If $|\hat{X}_2| = k$, since $E \ne \emptyset$, there exists $s \in E$. From Lemma 9, $|\triangle_{ss}| \ge |A_2|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}|A + k\cdot A| &= |A_2 + k\cdot A| + |A_s + k\cdot A| + \sum_{i\ne 2,s}|A_i + k\cdot A| \\ &\ge \Big(|A_2| + k|A_1| - k\Big) + \Big((k+1)|A_s| - k! + |A_2|\Big) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i\ne 2,s}\Big((k+1)|A_i| - k!\Big) \\ &\ge (k+1)|A|.\end{aligned}$$

If $|\hat{X}_2| < k$, it is simple to see $|\triangle_{22}| \geq |A_1|$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
|A + k \cdot A| &= |A_2 + k \cdot A| + \sum_{i \neq 2} |A_i + k \cdot A| \\
&= |A_2 + k \cdot A_2| + |\triangle_{22}| + \sum_{i \neq 2} |A_i + k \cdot A| \\
&\geq \Big((k+1)|A_2| - k! + |A_1|\Big) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i \neq 2} \Big((k+1)|A_i| - k!\Big) \\
&\geq (k+1)|A| - jk! + |A_1| \\
&\geq (k+1)|A|.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $(u_2, k) > 1$

If $|\hat{X}_n| = k$, we must have $s \neq n$ and $s \in E$. From Lemma 9, $|\triangle_{ss}| \geq |A_n|$. It implies

$$\begin{aligned}
|A + k \cdot A| &= |A_n + k \cdot A| + |A_s + k \cdot A| + \sum_{i \neq, n, s} |A_i + k \cdot A| \\
&\geq \Big(|A_n| + k|A_1| - k\Big) + \Big((k+1)|A_s| - k! + |A_n|\Big) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i \neq n, s} \Big((k+1)|A_i| - k!\Big) \geq (k+1)|A|.
\end{aligned}$$

Next suppose $|\hat{X}_n| < k$.

Subcase 1. $|\hat{X}_n| \geq p_1$ and $|A_1| \leq p_2 |A_n|$

If $|\hat{X}_2| = k$, by Lemma 9 $|\triangle_{nn}| \geq |A_2|$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
|A + k \cdot A| &= |A_2 + k \cdot A| + |A_n + k \cdot A| + \sum_{i \neq 2, n} |A_i + k \cdot A| \\
&\geq \Big(|A_2| + k|A_1| - k\Big) + \Big((k+1)|A_n| - k! + |A_2|\Big) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i \neq 2, n} \Big((k+1)|A_i| - k!\Big) \geq (k+1)|A|.
\end{aligned}$$

If $|\hat{X}_2| < k$, $|A_1| \leq p_2 |A_n|$ and Lemma 9 tell us that $|\triangle_{22}| \geq |A_1|$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
|A + k \cdot A| &= |A_2 + k \cdot A_2| + |\triangle_{22}| + \sum_{i \neq 2} |A_i + k \cdot A| \\
&\geq \Big((k+1)|A_2| - k! + |A_1|\Big) + \sum_{i \neq 2} \Big((k+1)|A_i| - k!\Big) \\
&\geq (k+1)|A|.
\end{aligned}$$

Subcase 2. $|\hat{X}_n| \geq p_1$ and $|A_1| > p_2 |A_n|$

Since $|\hat{X}_n| \geq p_1$, in fact we can get $|X_n + A| \geq |A_n| + p_1|A_1| + |A_2| - k$. If $|\hat{X}_n| > p_1$, then

$$|X_n + A| \geq |A_n| + |\hat{X}_n||A_1| - k \geq |A_n| + p_1|A_1| + |A_2| - k.$$

If $|\hat{X}_n| = p_1$, using Lemma 6, $|(\hat{X}_n + \hat{A}_2) \backslash \hat{X}_n| \geq 1$. So

$$|X_n + A| \geq |X_n + A_1| + |A_2| \geq |A_n| + p_1|A_1| + |A_2| - k.$$

While $|\hat{X}_2| = k$,

$$\begin{aligned}|A+k\cdot A| &= |A_2+k\cdot A| + |A_n+k\cdot A| + \sum_{i\neq 2,n}|A_i+k\cdot A|\\ &\geq \Big(|A_2|+k|A_1|-k\Big) + (|A_n|+p_1|A_1|+|A_2|-k)\\ &\quad + \sum_{i\neq 2,n}\Big((k+1)|A_i|-k!\Big) \geq (k+1)|A|.\end{aligned}$$

While $|\hat{X}_2| < k$, then $|\triangle_{22}| \geq |A_1|$ or $p_2|A_n|$, and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}|A+k\cdot A| &= |A_2+k\cdot A| + |A_n+k\cdot A| + \sum_{i\neq 2,n}|A_i+k\cdot A|\\ &\geq |\triangle_{22}| + (|A_n|+p_1|A_1|+|A_2|-k) + \sum_{i\neq n}\Big((k+1)|A_i|-k!\Big)\\ &\geq (k+1)|A|.\end{aligned}$$

Subcase 3. $|\hat{X}_n| < p_1$

By Lemma 9, $|\triangle_{nn}| \geq |A_1|$ and

$$\begin{aligned}|A+k\cdot A| &= |A_n+k\cdot A_n| + |\triangle_{nn}| + \sum_{i\neq n}|A_i+k\cdot A|\\ &\geq |\triangle_{nn}| + \sum_{1\leq i\leq j}\Big((k+1)|A_i|-k!\Big) \geq (k+1)|A|.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

5. Proof of Theorem 4.1

Lemma 11. *For $k=4$ and $A\subseteq\mathbb{Z}$, we have*

(i) If $|A|=2$, $|A+4\cdot A|=4$.

(ii) If $|A|=3$, $|A+4\cdot A|\geq 8$.

(iii) If $|A|=4$, $|A+4\cdot A|\geq 12$.

The proof of Lemma 11 is not difficult, although it takes up several lengths. Here we omit the process for simpleness.

Lemma 12. *For $|A|\geq 5$, $j=3$ and $A=A_1\cup A_2\cup A_3$, if $|A_i|\leq 4$, we have*

$$|A_i+4\cdot A| \geq |A|+2|A_i|-2.$$

Proof. As mentioned before, we have $A_i = 4\cdot X_i+u_i$, $|A_1|\geq|A_2|\geq|A_3|$ and $|A_i+4\cdot A| = |X_i+A|$.

(I) If $|\hat{X}_i|=1$, in light of Lemma 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}|X_i+A| &= |X_i+A_1|+|X_i+A_2|+|X_i+A_3|\\ &\geq (|X_i|+|A_1|-1)+(|X_i|+|A_2|-1)+(|X_i|+|A_3|-1)\\ &\geq |A|+3|A_i|-3\\ &\geq |A|+2|A_i|-2.\end{aligned}$$

(II) If $|\hat{X}_i|=2$, Lemma 2 shows us that $|\hat{X}_i+\hat{A}|=4$. It is easily to notice $|(X_i+A_1)\setminus(X_i+A_2)|\geq 1$ or $|(X_i+A_2)\setminus(X_i+A_1)|\geq 1$. If $|\hat{X}_i+(\hat{A}_1\cup\hat{A}_2)|=2$ and $|A_1|=|A_2|$, might as well we suppose $|(X_i+A_2)\setminus(X_i+A_1)|\geq 1$.

If $|\hat{X}_i+\hat{A}_1\cup\hat{A}_2|\geq 3$, then

$$\begin{aligned}|X_i+A| &= |X_i+A_1|+|(X_i+A)\setminus(X_i+A_1)|\\ &\geq |A_i|+2|A_1|-2+|A_2|+|A_3|\\ &\geq |A|+2|A_i|-2.\end{aligned}$$

If $|\hat{X}_i+\hat{A}_1\cup\hat{A}_2|=2$, noting that $|\hat{X}_i+\hat{A}|=|\hat{X}_i+\hat{A}_1\cup\hat{A}_2\cup\hat{A}_3|=4$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|X_i+A| &= |X_i+A_1\cup A_2|+|X_i+A_3|\\ &= |X_i+A_1|+|(X_i+A_1\cup A_2)\setminus(X_i+A_1)|+|X_i+A_3|\\ &\geq |A_i|+2|A_1|-2+1+|A_i|+2|A_3|-2\\ &\geq |A|+2|A_i|-2.\end{aligned}$$

(III) If $|\hat{X}_i|=3$, with the help of Lemmas 2 and 11, for $|A_i|=3,4$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}|X_i+A| &\geq |X_i+A_i|+(|A|-|A_i|)\\ &\geq |X_i+4\cdot X_i|+(|A|-|A_i|)\geq |A|+2|A_i|-2.\end{aligned}$$

(IV) If $|\hat{X}_i|=4$, since $|A_i|\leq 4$, we get $|A_i|=4$. Let a be the minimal element of X_i and b be the maximal number of A. Because $|\hat{X}_i|=4$ and $|\hat{A}|=3$, we have $|\hat{a}+\hat{A}|=3$ and $|\hat{X}_i+(\hat{A}_i\setminus\{\hat{b}\})|=4$. Then $|(X_i+(A_i\setminus\{b\}))\setminus(a+A)|\geq 3$. It turns out that

$$\begin{aligned}|X_i+A| &\geq |a+A|+|(X_i+(A_i\setminus\{b\}))\setminus(a+A)|+|b+X_i|-1\\ &\geq |A|+3+4-1\geq |A|+2|A_i|-2.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. ∎

Lemma 13. *For $|A|\geq 5$, $j=2$, $A=A_1\cup A_2$, $u_1=0$ and $2\nmid u_2$, if $|A_i|\leq 4$, we have*

$$|A_i+4\cdot A|\geq |A|+3|A_i|-3.$$

Proof. We distinguish four conditions.

(I) If $|\hat{X}_i|=1$, we use Lemma 11 to obtain that for $|A_i|=1,\ 2,\ 3,\ 4$,

$$\begin{aligned}|X_i+A| &= |X_i+A_i|+|X_i+(A\setminus A_i)|\\ &\geq |X_i+A_i|+(|A|-|A_i|)+(|A_i|-1)\\ &\geq |A|+3|A_i|-3.\end{aligned}$$

(II) If $|\hat{X}_i|=2$, by Lemma 2, we have $3\leq|\hat{X}_i+\hat{A}|\leq 4$. We divide it into two small cases.

Case 1. $|\hat{X}_i+\hat{A}|=4$.

Since $|\hat{X}_i + \hat{A}_i| = 2$, by Lemma 11 and $|A| \geq 5$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |X_i + A| &= |X_i + A_i| + |X_i + (A\backslash A_i)| \\ &\geq |X_i + 4 \cdot X_i| + 2(|A| - |A_i|) \\ &\geq |A| + 3|A_i| - 3. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $|\hat{X}_i + \hat{A}| = 3$.

We write $\eta = |\ \{x \in X_i : (\hat{x} + \hat{A}\backslash\hat{A}_i) \nsubseteqq (\hat{X}_i + \hat{A}_i)\}\ |$. While $\eta \geq 2$, according to Lemma 11 we have

$$\begin{aligned} |X_i + A| &\geq |X_i + A_i| + (|A| - |A_i| + 1) \\ &\geq |A| + 3|A_i| - 3. \end{aligned}$$

While $\eta = 1$, it is easy to see $|X_i + A| \geq |A| + (|A| - |A_i|) \geq |A| + 3|A_i| - 3$ for $|A_i| = 2$. For $|A_i| = 3$, we write $X_i = \{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$ with $s_1 \equiv s_2 \pmod{4}$ and $s_1 < s_2$. Then we have $\hat{s_3} + \hat{A}_i = \hat{s_1} + \hat{A}\backslash\hat{A}_i$. It will turn out that

$$|((s_1 \cup s_2) + A\backslash A_i)\backslash(s_3 + A_i)| \geq 1.$$

If $|A| \geq 6$, the inequality holds clearly. If $|A| = 5$, since $|A_i| = 3$, then $|A_1| = 3$. Let $A_1 = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ with $a_1 < a_2 < a_3$ and $A_2 = \{a_4, a_5\}$ with $a_4 < a_5$. Because $u_1 = 0$, $a_1 = 4s_1$, $a_2 = 4s_2$ and $a_3 = 4s_3$, if inequality does not hold, there must be $s_3 + a_1 = s_1 + a_4$, $s_3 + a_2 = s_1 + a_5 = s_2 + a_4$ and $s_3 + a_3 = s_2 + a_5$. Then $a_2 - a_1 = s_2 - s_1$. It is a contradiction to $a_1 - a_2 = 4(s_1 - s_2)$. From the inequality and Lemma 11 we have

$$\begin{aligned} |X_i + A| &\geq |X_i + A_i| + (|A| - |A_i| + 1) \\ &\geq 8 + (|A| - 3) + 1 \geq |A| + 3|A_i| - 3. \end{aligned}$$

For $|A_i| = 4$, let $X_i = \{s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4\}$ with $s_1 \equiv s_2 \equiv s_3 \pmod{4}$. Easy to know $|X_i + 4 \cdot X_i| \geq 13$ which yields that

$$\begin{aligned} |X_i + A| &\geq |X_i + A_i| + (|A| - |A_i|) \\ &\geq 13 + (|A| - 4) \geq |A| + 3|A_i| - 3. \end{aligned}$$

(III) If $|\hat{X}_i|$=3, we have $|\hat{X}_i + \hat{A}| = 4$ and applying Lemma 11 we get

$$\begin{aligned} |X_i + A| &\geq |X_i + A_i| + (|A| - |A_i|) \\ &\geq 3|A_i| + (|A_i| - 3) + (|A| - |A_i|) \geq |A| + 3|A_i| - 3. \end{aligned}$$

(IV) If $|\hat{X}_i| = 4$, clearly $|A_i| = 4$. For $|A| = 8$, i.e., $|A_1| = |A_2| = 4$, as mentioned before suppose $|(X_i + A_2)\backslash(X_i + A_1)| \geq 1$. $|\hat{X}_i| = 4$ presents that $|X_i + A_1| = 16$. Then it is easy to get

$$|X_i + A| \geq |X_i + A_1| + |(X_i + A_2)\backslash(X_i + A_1)| \geq |A| + 3|A_i| - 3.$$

For $|A| \neq 8$, we have $|X_i + A| \geq |X_i + A_1| \geq |A| + 3|A_i| - 3$. ∎

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Through the proof of Theorem 1, we know that without loss of generality we can assume $p \nmid gcd(A)$. For $k = 4$, it means that $2 \nmid u_2$. If $|\hat{A}| = 4$, by Lemma 1, we have $|A + 4 \cdot A| \geq 5|A| - 4$ which supports Theorem 4.1. Then we can also assume $|\hat{A}| \leq 3$.

From Lemmas 12 and 13, we know that for $|A| = 5$, $|A+4\cdot A| \geq 5|A|-6$. For $|A| \geq 6$ we prove Theorem 4.1 by induction on $|A|$. Suppose for any B with $5 \leq |B| < |A|$, we have proved $|B + 4 \cdot B| \geq 5|B| - 6$. To read clearly, we divide Theorem 4.1 into three small theorems.

Theorem 4. *For* $|A| \geq 5$, $|\hat{A}| = 3$ *and* $|A_1| \geq |A_2| \geq |A_3|$, *if* $|A_3| \leq 4$, *we have*

$$|A + 4 \cdot A| \geq 5|A| - 6.$$

Proof. **Case 1.** $|A_1| \leq 4$.

We use Lemma 12 to obtain

$$|A + 4 \cdot A| = |X_1 + A| + |X_2 + A| + |X_3 + A| \geq 5|A| - 6.$$

Case 2. $|A_1| \geq 5$ and $|A_2| \leq 4$.

For $|\hat{X}_1| = 4$, by Lemmas 1 and 12, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |A + 4 \cdot A| &= |X_1 + A| + |X_2 + A| + |X_3 + A| \\ &\geq |X_1 + A_1| + |X_2 + A| + |X_3 + A| \\ &\geq (5|A_1| - 4) + (|A| + 2|A_2| - 2) + (|A| + 2|A_3| - 2) \\ &\geq 5|A| + (|A_1| - |A_2|) + (|A_1| - |A_3|) - 8 \\ &\geq 5|A| - 6. \end{aligned}$$

For $|\hat{X}_1| \leq 3$, since $|\hat{A}| = 3$, applying Lemma 2 we have $|\hat{X}_1 + \hat{A}| > |\hat{X}_1|$ and clearly

$$\begin{aligned} |A + 4 \cdot A| &= |X_1 + A| + |X_2 + A| + |X_3 + A| \\ &\geq |X_1 + 4 \cdot X_1| + |A_3| + |X_2 + A| + |X_3 + A| \\ &\geq (5|A_1| - 6 + |A_3|) + (|A| + 2|A_2| - 2) + (|A| + 2|A_3| - 2) \\ &\geq 5|A| + (|A_1| - |A_2|) + |A_1| - 10 \\ &\geq 5|A| - 6. \end{aligned}$$

Case 3. $|A_2| \geq 5$ and $|A_3| \leq 4$.

If $|\hat{X}_1| = 4$ and $|\hat{X}_2| = 4$, Lemma 3 tells us $|\triangle_{11}| + |\triangle_{22}| \geq 2$. So

$$\begin{aligned} |A + 4 \cdot A| &= |X_1 + A| + |X_2 + A| + |X_3 + A| \\ &\geq (|X_1 + 4 \cdot X_1| + |\triangle_{11}|) + (|X_2 + 4 \cdot X_2| + |\triangle_{22}|) + |X_3 + A| \\ &\geq (5|A_1| - 4) + (5|A_2| - 4) + 2 + (|A| + 2|A_3| - 2) \\ &\geq 5|A| + (|A_1| - |A_3|) + (|A_2| - |A_3|) - 8 \\ &\geq 5|A| - 6. \end{aligned}$$

If there is only one element in $\{|\hat{X_1}|, |\hat{X_2}|\}$ that equals to 4. According to Lemma 2, we can get

$$\begin{aligned}|A+4\cdot A| &= |X_1+A|+|X_2+A|+|X_3+A| \\ &\geq 5(|A_1|+|A_2|)-6-4+|A_3|+(|A|+2|A_3|-2) \\ &\geq 5|A|+|A_1|+(|A_2|-|A_3|)-12 \\ &\geq 5|A|-6.\end{aligned}$$

When $|\hat{X_1}|\leq 3$ and $|\hat{X_2}|\leq 3$, Lemma 2 gives $|\hat{X_1}+\hat{A}|>|\hat{X_1}|$ and $|\hat{X_2}+\hat{A}|>|\hat{X_2}|$. Then it turns out that

$$\begin{aligned}|A+4\cdot A| &= |X_1+A|+|X_2+A|+|X_3+A| \\ &\geq (5|A_1|-6+|A_3|)+(5|A_2|-6+|A_3|)+(|A|+2|A_3|-2) \\ &\geq 5|A|+|A_1|+|A_2|-14 \\ &\geq 5|A|-6.\end{aligned}$$

∎

Theorem 5. *For* $|A|\geq 5$, $|\hat{A}|=3$ *and* $|A_1|\geq|A_2|\geq|A_3|$, *if* $|A_3|\geq 5$, *we have*

$$|A+4\cdot A|\geq 5|A|-6.$$

Proof. As mentioned above, if $|\hat{X_i}|\leq 3$, by Lemma 2, we get $|\hat{X_i}+\hat{A}|>|\hat{X_i}|$. Then

$$|X_i+A|\geq|X_i+A_i|+|A_3|.$$

(I) If $|\hat{X_1}|\leq 3$, $|\hat{X_2}|\leq 3$ and $|\hat{X_3}|\leq 3$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}|A+4\cdot A| &= |X_1+A|+|X_2+A|+|X_3+A| \\ &\geq (5|A_1|-6+|A_3|)+(5|A_2|-6+|A_3|)+(5|A_3|-6+|A_3|) \\ &\geq 5|A|+3|A_3|-18 \\ &\geq 5|A|-6.\end{aligned}$$

(II) If $|\ \{i:|\hat{X_i}|\leq 3\}\ |=2$, according to Lemma 1 we get

$$|A+4\cdot A|\geq 5(|X_1|+|X_2|+|X_3|)-6-6-4+2|A_3|\geq 5|A|-6.$$

(III) If $|\ \{i:|\hat{X_i}|\leq 3\}\ |=1$, we have to make more careful discussion.

Case 1. $|A_1|=|A_2|=|A_3|$.

We may suppose that $|\hat{X_3}|\leq 3$ and $|\hat{X_1}|=|\hat{X_2}|=4$. Then we will get $|X_1+A|\geq 5|A_1|-2$ and $|X_2+A|\geq 5|A_2|-2$. It is useful to notice that $\min(X_1+A_i)\notin X_1+A_k$ or $\max(X_1+A_i)\notin X_1+A_k$ if $|X_1+A_i|=|X_1+A_k|$. If $|X_1+A_i|\geq 5|A_i|-3$ for some i, we have $|X_1+(A\setminus A_i)|\geq 5|A_1|-3$ and $|X_1+A|\geq 5|A_1|-2$. If $|X_1+A_i|=5|A_1|-4$, for all i, applying Lemma 1 we get that A_1, A_2 and A_3 are arithmetic progressions with the same difference which presents that $|\triangle_{11}| \geq 2$. For $|X_2 + A|$, we have the similar result. So

$$\begin{aligned}|A + 4 \cdot A| &= |X_1 + A| + |X_2 + A| + |X_3 + A| \\ &\geq (5|A_1| - 2) + (5|A_2| - 2) + (5|A_3| - 6 + |A_3|) \\ &\geq 5|A| + |A_3| - 10 \\ &\geq 5|A| - 6.\end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $|A_1| = |A_2| = |A_3|$ does not hold.

Since $|A_3| \geq 5$ we have $|A_1| \geq 6$. If $|A_1| > |A_2|$, $|\ \{i : |\hat{X}_i| \leq 3\}\ | = 1$ implies $|X_2 + A| \geq |X_2 + 4 \cdot X_1| \geq |A_2| + 4|A_1| - 4 \geq 5|A_2|$ or $|X_3 + A| \geq |X_3 + 4 \cdot X_1| \geq |A_3| + 4|A_1| - 4 \geq 5|A_3|$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}|A + 4 \cdot A| &= |X_1 + A| + |X_2 + A| + |X_3 + A| \\ &\geq 5(|A_1| + |A_2| + |A_3|) - 6 - 4 + |A_3| \\ &\geq 5|A| - 6.\end{aligned}$$

If $|A_1| = |A_2| > |A_3|$, for $|\hat{X}_3| \leq 3$, as mentioned before, we have

$$|A + 4 \cdot A| \geq (5|A_1| - 4 + 1) + (5|A_2| - 4 + 1) + (5|A_3| - 6 + |A_1|) \geq 5|A| - 6.$$

For $|\hat{X}_3| = 4$, $|A + 4 \cdot A| \geq 5(|A_1| + |A_2|) - 4 - 6 + |A_3| + (|A_3| + 4|A_1| - 4) \geq 5|A| - 6$.

(IV) If $|\hat{X}_1| = |\hat{X}_2| = |\hat{X}_3| = 4$, in light of Lemma 3, we have $|\triangle_{11}| + |\triangle_{22}| + |\triangle_{33}| \geq 6$. Then by Lemma 1 we get

$$\begin{aligned}|A + 4 \cdot A| &= |X_1 + A| + |X_2 + A| + |X_3 + A| \\ &\geq (|X_1 + A_1| + |\triangle_{11}|) + (|X_2 + A_2| + |\triangle_{22}|) + (|X_3 + A_3| + |\triangle_{33}|) \\ &\geq 5(|A_1| + |A_2| + |A_3|) - 4 - 4 - 4 + 6 \\ &\geq 5|A| - 6.\end{aligned}$$

∎

Theorem 6. *For* $|\hat{A}| = 2$, $A = A_1 \cup A_2$ *and* $2 \nmid u_2$, *if* $|A| \geq 5$, *we have* $|A + 4 \cdot A| \geq 5|A| - 6$.

Proof. If $|\hat{X}_i| \leq 3$, by Lemma 2, we have $|\hat{X}_i + \hat{A}| > |\hat{X}_i + \hat{A}_i|$.

Case 1. $|A_1| \leq 4$.

According to Lemma 13 we get

$$\begin{aligned}|A + 4 \cdot A| = &\ |X_1 + A| + |X_2 + A| \\ \geq &\ (|A| + 3|A_1| - 3) + (|A| + 3|A_2| - 3) \geq 5|A| - 6.\end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $|A_1| \geq 5$ and $|A_2| \leq 4$.

While $|\hat{X}_1| = 4$, we remark that clearly

$$\begin{aligned}|A + 4 \cdot A| &= |X_1 + A| + |X_2 + A| \geq |X_1 + A_1| + |X_2 + A| \\ &\geq (5|A_1| - 4) + (|A| + 3|A_2| - 3) = 5|A| + (|A_1| - |A_2|) - 7 \\ &\geq 5|A| - 6.\end{aligned}$$

While $|\hat{X}_1| \leq 3$, plainly we have

$$\begin{aligned} |A+4\cdot A| &= |X_1+A|+|X_2+A| \geq |X_1+A_1|+|\triangle_{11}|+|X_2+A| \\ &\geq (5|A_1|-6+|A_2|)+(|A|+3|A_2|-3) \\ &= 5|A|+(|A_1|-|A_2|)+|A_2|-9 \\ &\geq 5|A|-6. \end{aligned}$$

Case 3. $|A_2| \geq 5$.

For $|\hat{X}_1| = |\hat{X}_2| = 4$, if $|A_1| = |A_2|$, by Lemma 3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} |A+4\cdot A| &= |X_1+A|+|X_2+A| \geq (|X_1+A_1|+|\triangle_{11}|)+(|X_2+A_2|+|\triangle_{22}|) \\ &\geq 5|A|-4-4+2 \geq 5|A|-6. \end{aligned}$$

If $|A_1| > |A_2|$, Lemma 1 helps us to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |A+4\cdot A| &= |X_1+A|+|X_2+A| \geq (5|A_1|-4)+(|A_2|+4|A_1|-4) \\ &= 5|A|+4(|A_1|-|A_2|)-8 \geq 5|A|-6. \end{aligned}$$

While $|\ \{i : |\hat{A}_i| \leq 3\}\ | = 1$, then it is simple to get

$$|A+4\cdot A| \geq 5(|A_1|+|A_2|)+|A_2|-4-6 \geq 5|A|-6.$$

While $|\ \{i : |\hat{A}_i| \leq 3\}\ | = 2$, we have

$$|A+4\cdot A| \geq (5|A_1|-6+|A_2|)+(5|A_2|-6+|A_1|) \geq 5|A|-6.$$

∎

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

REFERENCES

[1] J. Cilieruelo, Y. Hamidoune and O. Serra, *On sums of dilates,* Combin. Probab. Comput. **18** (2009), 871-880.

[2] J. Cilieruelo, M. Siliva and C. Vinuesa, *A Sumset Problem*, J. Comb. Number Theory **2**(2010), in press.

[3] Melvyn B. Nathanson, *Additive Number Theory: Inverse Problems and the Geometry of Sumsets* (Grad. texts in math.; 165), Springer, New York, 1996.

(SHAN-SHAN DU) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210093, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
E-mail address: `dss19861106@163.com`

(HUI-QIN CAO) DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, NANJING AUDIT UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210093, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
E-mail address: `caohq@nau.edu.cn`

(ZHI-WEI SUN) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210093, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
E-mail address: `zwsun@nju.edu.cn`